



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/183,621	10/30/1998	MARTIN LIVESEY	49658-025	3358

7590

01/11/2002

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP  
Brian D. Hickman  
1600 Willow Street  
San Jose, CA 95125-5106

EXAMINER

HARRISON, CHANTE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2672

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/183,621

Applicant(s)

Livesey

Examiner  
Chante' Harrison

Group Art Unit  
2672



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chante' Harrison

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Craig Holmes

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 9, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3

Identification of prior art discussed:

Jensen U.S. 5,956,043 and Grunbaum "Tilings and patterns" 1986

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Representative disagrees with Examiner's combination of Jensen and Grunbaum based on Jensen's manipulation of tiles to identify periodic patterns and Grunbaum's manipulation of tiles to create an aperiodic pattern. Additionally, Representative proposed amending independent claim 1 to include the 2nd and 3rd features of dependent claim 3. Examiner noted this combination may not render the amended claim allowable in view of Grunbaum if reference is made to texturing tiles.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MATTHEW LUU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.